

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/666,704	Applicant(s) LEAH ET AL.	
	Examiner Eric V Woods	Art Unit 2672	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Eric V Woods.

(3) _____.

(2) Timothy J. O'Sullivan (35,632).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 March 2005

Time: 5 p.m. EST.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-36

Prior art documents discussed:

Leshem, Schneidermann

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**ALMIS R. JANKUS
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner told applicant's representative that new claims 34-36 were not allowable in present form and presented a proposed amendment that would put the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative gave permission to make those changes via examiner's amendment..